SECRET

Form 160c

14 October 1983

NOTE FOR:

Director, Office of General Counsel National Intelligence Officer for East Asia Director, Office of Legislative Liaison

FROM:

SA/DCI/IA

A Cabinet Council meeting on legislation about a drug czar is to be held today at about 3 p.m. in the Roosevelt room. Mr. Meese will chair the meeting; the DCI or DDCI will attend. Please review the attached paper and provide to me comments for DCI/DDCI use by noon today.

STAT

Attachment: As stated

cc; DCI

DDCI

WASHINGTON

CABINET AFFAIRS STAFFING MEMORANDUM

Date: 10-13-83 Subject: Cabinet Cour October 14,	- ocil on Le	168802C gal Polic :00 pm in	Due By: y Planning Session - the Roosevelt Room	- Friday TOPIC	Drug Tsar Legislation
ALL CABINET MEMBERS Vice President State Treasury Defense Attorney General	Action	FYI	CEA CEQ OSTP	Action	EYI DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
Interior Agriculture Commerce Labor HHS HUD Transportation Energy Education Counsellor OMB CIA		000000000000	Baker Deaver Clark Darman (For WH Staffin Jenkins Svahn		00000000000
UN USTR GSA EPA OPM VA SBA			CCCT/Gunn CCEA/Porter CCFA/ CCHR/Simmons CCLP/Uhlmann CCMA/Bledsoe CCNRE/		

REMARKS:

A planning session of the CCLP will be held tomorrow, Friday, October 14, 1983 at 3:00 pm in the Roosevelt Room.

Discussion will center on the need for an Administration response to Drug Tsar legislation that is pending on the Hill. A backround paper, prepared by Deputy Attorney General Ed Schmults, is attached.


RETURN TO:		Craig L
		Assistar

Craig L. Fuller
Assistant to the President

☐ Katherine Anderson ☐ Tom Gibson ☐

☐Don Clarey ☐Larry Herbolsheimer

Associate Director
Approved For Release 2008/11/26 : CIA-RDP95B00895R000200080023-1 pirs





The Deputy Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

OCT 13 1983

MEMORANDUM TO: Craig Fuller

Assistant to the President for

Cabinet Affairs

FROM: Edward C. Schmults

Deputy Attorney General

RE: "Drug Tsar" Legislation: A Proposed

Administration Response

. Background: For more than a year, there have been calls in the Congress for creation of a "drug tsar" to oversee and coordinate all federal drug enforcement efforts. We have consistently resisted these proposals, first on the Floor of the Senate last year where a Biden "drug tsar" amendment to the Violent Crime and Drug Enforcement Improvements Act was accepted by a 2-1 margin despite Chairman Thurmond's efforts on our behalf. During the "lame-duck" session of the 97th Congress, the "drug tsar" proposal was attached to the "minicrime bill." As you will recall, the Biden bill would have created a "super Cabinet-level" drug tsar with vague and sweeping powers to "direct" departments and agencies to carry out the policies he establishes including the power to reach down into departments and agencies and reassign enforcement personnel. The President disapproved it primarily because of this "drug tsar" provision.

Despite our continuing opposition to the "drug tsar" concept, Senator Biden has succeeded in having his new "drug tsar" bill (S. 1787) reported by the Senate Judiciary Committee by a vote of 12 to 5 (3 of the 5 votes against were proxies voted by Chairman Thurmond; in at least one case the proxy was from a Senator who favors the tsar concept). The Biden bill is substantially identical to the "tsar" provision of the mini-crime bill pocket vetoed in January.

On the House side, Congressman Hughes has had his version of a "drug tsar" proposal (H.R. 3664) reported by the House Judiciary Committee. The Hughes' bill builds upon an existing structure (the White House Drug Abuse Policy Office) rather than creating an entirely new structure.

Senator Biden will, as part of his agreement with Chairman Thurmond, be able to bring his bill to the Senate Floor as a separate bill upon completion of Senate consideration of the President's crime package, possibly within a few weeks. Congressman Hughes can be expected to try to get his bill approved by the House before the Senate acts on the Biden bill.

Prognosis: House and Senate Floor action on "drug tsar" legislation is imminent and the result will almost certainly be overwhelming approval by both bodies. The simplistic and superficial appeal of the "drug tsar" concept appears irresistible. Even if the President was to veto a "drug tsar" proposal we must recognize that the vote we anticipate tsar" proposal we must recognize that the vote we anticipate on initial passage would be so strong as to suggest concern about a veto override. The Administration would suffer from the public's confusion of vetoing a "crime" bill.

Moreover, the Democrat strategy may be to secure Congressional approval of a bail, sentencing, forfeiture and "drug tsar" package leaving the balance of the President's anti-crime package to gather dust in the House Judiciary Committee.

A Revised Biden Bill. Despite the shortcomings of the Biden "drug tsar" bill, there is reason to believe that Biden may be willing to make a number of changes to accommodate our concerns. In this regard, Biden has held out the intelligence community as a model of a coordinated multidepartmental effort. We believe his bill can be modified, therefore, to make it more consistent with the organization of the intellimake it more consistent with the same time bringing it more into line with our current cabinet system.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Department of Justice be authorized to approach Senators Thurmond and Biden. We believe that a version patterned after the Birector of Central Intelligence model can be structured in Such a way as to provide a single witness to appear before Congressional committees to testify on anti-drug efforts and accommodate certain other concerns without unnecessarily infringing on the important operational programs of the several departments. In summary, an alternative approach could be to establish a Drug Policy and Operations Board chaired by the Attorney General and made up of members of the Cabinet Council on Legal Policy. Such a board would set drug policy and oversee drug enforcement operations through a participatory process that respects the powers of Cabinet officers to supervise the internal affairs of their departments.